
THE RENTIER TRAP: EXTERNAL RENTS, FISCAL DEPENDENCE, AND THE GOVERNANCE CRISIS IN PAKISTAN

Abidah 1st Dr. Ikram Badshah 2nd

1st PhD Scholar at Area Study Centre for Africa, North and South America, Quaid-I-Azam University

2nd Dr. Ikram Badshah, (Assistant Professor) Department of Anthropology at Quaid-I-Azam University **Email:** ikram@qau.edu.pk

Corresponding author; Abidah, abida@asc.qau.edu.pk.

Abstract

Why has Pakistan's governance crisis proved so durable, persisting across military and civilian regimes and surviving decades of externally financed reform? This article advances a rentier-state explanation. It argues that Pakistan is best understood as a strategic rentier state, one whose revenues derive less from taxing its citizens than from external rents, geostrategic aid, recurrent lending, and remittances, of which the state and the military are the principal recipients and distributors. Drawing on rentier-state theory and on the literature treating foreign aid as a rent, the article contends that this fiscal structure severs the tax-accountability link that ordinarily disciplines states, sustaining weak accountability, pervasive patronage, and elite-dominated institutions. Pakistan's persistently low tax-to-GDP ratio of around ten per cent, its dependence on remittances and external borrowing, and the boom-and-bust rhythm of strategic aid are read as the fiscal signature of this rentier condition. The argument is illustrated with reference to the Worldwide Governance Indicators, which show every dimension of Pakistan's governance below the world average across the 2008–2018 decade. The article further argues that foreign assistance, being itself a rent, tended to reinforce rather than dissolve the rentier trap, financing the form of reform without its function. It concludes that breaking the trap requires a fiscal solution, broadening the domestic tax base so that the state acquires a structural reason to answer to its citizens, the rentier logic in reverse, rather than further external transfers.

Keywords: rentier state; governance; Pakistan; foreign aid; taxation; accountability; political economy; resource curse

1. Introduction

Pakistan's governance crisis is among the most analysed and least resolved problems in the study of South Asian political economy. Across more than seven decades, under military and civilian governments alike, and through repeated waves of externally financed reform, the country has remained near the foot of comparative governance rankings, with weak accountability, pervasive corruption, and a state that struggles to translate revenue into services. The puzzle is not that Pakistan governs badly at a moment in time, but that the dysfunction is so durable: it survives changes of regime, infusions of aid, and successive reform programmes. Explanations that focus on the failings of particular governments or the design of particular interventions cannot account for a pathology that outlasts them all.

This article advances a structural explanation rooted in rentier-state theory. It argues that Pakistan is best understood as a strategic rentier state, one that funds itself disproportionately from external rents rather than from taxing the productive activity of its citizens. Where the classic rentier states of the Gulf live off oil, Pakistan has lived off its geostrategic position, extracting recurrent rents in the form of military and economic aid, balance-of-payments lending, and, more diffusely, the remittances of its overseas workers. The central claim is that this fiscal structure, rather than any contingent failure of leadership, is the deep source of the governance crisis: a state that does not depend on its citizens for revenue has weak structural incentives to render itself accountable to them.

The argument matters for three reasons. First, it reframes a debate often conducted in terms of corruption, leadership, or culture as a question of fiscal political economy, locating the crisis

in the relationship between revenue and accountability. Second, it carries a pointed implication for development assistance: if aid is itself a rent, then aid intended to improve governance may, paradoxically, reinforce the very fiscal independence from citizens that underlies poor governance. Third, it redirects the search for solutions from external transfers toward domestic fiscal reform. The article proceeds as follows. Section 2 sets out rentier-state theory and its extension to foreign aid. Section 3 develops the case for treating Pakistan as a strategic rentier. Section 4 examines the fiscal signature of that condition. Section 5 traces the rentier dynamics that produce the governance crisis. Section 6 considers aid as rent. Section 7 draws the policy implications, and Section 8 concludes.

2. Rentier-State Theory: From Oil to Aid

A rentier state is one that derives a substantial share of its revenue from external “rents”, income out of proportion to any productive effort behind it, rather than from domestic taxation. The concept originates with Mahdavy’s study of pre-revolutionary Iran and was developed by Beblawi and Luciani, who specified its defining features: rent situations predominate in the economy; the rent originates externally; only a small fraction of the population generates it while the majority distribute or consume it; and, crucially, the government is the principal recipient. Karl and Ross extended the analysis to the broader “resource curse,” documenting how resource rents are associated with weaker institutions, authoritarian durability, and slower development.

The mechanism at the heart of the theory is fiscal. Because a rentier state does not need to tax its citizens, it does not need their consent, and the reciprocal bargain that elsewhere ties rulers to the ruled is never struck. The familiar principle is inverted: where representative government historically emerged from the demand for *no taxation without representation*, the rentier state offers *no taxation, and therefore no representation*. Luciani characterised such a polity as an “allocation state” whose central function is to distribute rent, through subsidies, public employment, and patronage, rather than to extract revenue and account for its use. The predictable consequences are weak accountability, rent-seeking and corruption, underdeveloped institutions, durable elite dominance, and a state that floats above society, insulated from the pressure that taxation would otherwise generate.

A subsequent literature has argued that foreign aid can function as a rent in precisely this sense. Aid is external, unearned, non-tax revenue accruing to the state, and several scholars, Morrison on non-tax revenue and regime stability, Djankov and colleagues on the “curse of aid,” and Moss, Knack, and Bräutigam on aid dependence and institutions, have shown that large aid flows can reproduce the governance effects of oil: loosening the tax-accountability link, financing patronage, and weakening the incentive to reform. On this account, the rentier category need not be confined to resource exporters; any state that lives on large external transfers, whether from oil, aid, or strategic position, may exhibit rentier dynamics. It is this extension that makes the framework applicable to Pakistan.

3. Pakistan as a Strategic Rentier State

Pakistan is not an oil rentier, yet it displays the rentier condition in a distinctive, strategic form. Its rents derive from location and geopolitical utility rather than from subsoil wealth. Since independence the state has repeatedly converted its strategic position into external income: substantial United States military and economic assistance during the Cold War and again during the anti-Soviet war in Afghanistan; Gulf assistance and the remittances of millions of Pakistani workers in the Gulf; recurrent International Monetary Fund programmes and other balance-of-payments support; and, after 2001, a renewed surge of security-related transfers.

These flows share the essential rentier characteristics: they are external, they accrue principally to the state, and they are only loosely connected to the productive effort of Pakistani society.

Two features sharpen the Pakistani case. The first is the central role of the military, which has been a primary recipient and manager of strategic rents and which commands an extensive commercial and economic base of its own. This gives the most powerful institution in the state a degree of fiscal autonomy from civilian budgets and from the citizenry, reinforcing the insulation that rentier theory predicts. The second is the boom-and-bust rhythm of strategic rents, which surge when Pakistan is geopolitically useful and collapse when it is not, as they did after the Pressler sanctions of 1990. This volatility discourages long-horizon institutional investment and encourages the treatment of rents as windfalls to be captured while available, rather than as a basis for building a self-sustaining fiscal state.

4. The Fiscal Signature: Low Taxation and the Broken Accountability Link

If Pakistan is a strategic rentier, its public finances should bear the signature of that condition, and they do. The most telling indicator is the country's persistently low tax-to-GDP ratio, which has averaged in the region of ten per cent over recent decades, well below comparable economies. A state that taxes its citizens so lightly is, by definition, one that depends on them for revenue only to a limited degree, and the narrow, indirect-tax-heavy structure of what is collected further weakens the direct fiscal relationship between the state and the broad population. The gap between expenditure and domestic revenue is bridged not by deepening the tax base but by external inflows: remittances, which by the end of the study period amounted to roughly seven to eight per cent of GDP, alongside external borrowing and aid.

Indicator	Approx. value (period)	Rentier reading
Tax-to-GDP ratio	~10% (long-run average)	Among the lowest in the region; state need not tax to fund itself
Remittances (% of GDP)	~7–8% by 2018	Large external, non-tax inflow; cushions external accounts
Reliance on external inflows	Persistent	Remittances, loans, and aid bridge the trade and fiscal gaps
Primary rent manager	The state & military	Strategic rents accrue to, and are distributed by, the state

Table 1. The fiscal signature of strategic rentierism in Pakistan (approximate, period figures). Insert exact values and sources from your dataset.

The political consequence of this fiscal structure is the central concern of the theory. A government funded substantially from rents and external inflows does not have to bargain with taxpayers for its revenue, and so escapes the discipline that such bargaining imposes. It can purchase acquiescence through allocation, public-sector employment, subsidies, and patronage, rather than earning legitimacy through accountable performance. The citizen, in turn, who contributes little in direct taxation, has correspondingly little fiscal leverage over the

state. The accountability link that elsewhere runs from taxation to representation is, in the Pakistani case, attenuated at its source.

5. Rentier Dynamics and the Governance Crisis

The governance crisis can be read as the downstream expression of these rentier dynamics. The pattern is visible across the standard dimensions of governance. Accountability is weak because the fiscal basis for citizen leverage is thin and because allocation substitutes for representation. Corruption and state capture are encouraged because access to rent, rather than the provision of services, becomes the central prize of politics, and because the absence of a tax bargain removes a key source of scrutiny over how public money is used. Institutions remain underdeveloped and elite-dominated because the coalition that controls the distribution of rent has little incentive to build the impartial, capable institutions that would constrain it.

The empirical record for the 2008–2018 decade is consistent with this account. On the Worldwide Governance Indicators, every one of Pakistan's six governance dimensions remained below the world average throughout the period, and the dimensions most closely tied to accountable, capable institutions, voice and accountability, control of corruption, and the rule of law, improved only marginally and from a very low base. This is precisely the profile a rentier analysis would predict: a state that can deliver a measure of stability and basic administration when external conditions allow, but that does not develop the deep accountability and impartiality whose fiscal preconditions are absent. The governance crisis, on this reading, is not a failure to implement the right reforms but a structural property of a polity financed from rents rather than from its citizens.

6. Aid as Rent: Why Assistance Reinforced the Trap

The rentier framework yields a sharp and uncomfortable implication for development assistance. If foreign aid is itself a rent, external, unearned, and accruing to the state, then aid directed at improving governance enters the very fiscal structure that produces poor governance, and may reinforce rather than dissolve it. This is more than a theoretical possibility in the Pakistani case. During the 2008–2018 decade the United States, through the Kerry-Lugar-Berman Act, tripled its civilian assistance and made governance an explicit objective, in what was the largest civilian aid wave in the history of the relationship.

Yet the governance returns were slight, and the detailed programme record, examined at length elsewhere, shows assistance producing the administrative form of reform, oversight bodies, trained officials, new procedures, without its function. A rentier reading explains why. Aid added to the stock of external, non-tax revenue at the state's disposal, marginally easing the fiscal pressure that might otherwise have pushed the state toward its citizens, while the reforms it financed threatened the distribution of rent and were therefore absorbed without effect. Far from building the tax-based accountability that underpins good governance, governance aid risked entrenching the fiscal independence from citizens that underlies its absence. This is the rentier paradox of aid: assistance intended to cure the governance crisis is fiscally of a piece with its cause.

7. Implications: Breaking the Rentier Trap

If the governance crisis is rooted in a rentier fiscal structure, then its remedy is, at bottom, fiscal. The decisive reform is to broaden and deepen the domestic tax base, so that the state comes to depend on its citizens for revenue and thereby acquires a structural reason to answer to them. This is the rentier logic in reverse: just as the absence of taxation severs the accountability link, its presence can begin to rebuild it. A state that must negotiate with taxpayers for its revenue is exposed to demands for transparency, services, and accountability

in a way that an allocation state is not. Fiscal reform, on this view, is not merely a matter of revenue adequacy but of political reconstruction.

Two further implications follow. First, reducing reliance on volatile external rents, by widening the tax net rather than seeking the next strategic windfall, would lessen the boom-and-bust dynamic that discourages long-horizon institution-building. Second, donors and lenders should recognise the rentier risk inherent in their own transfers and, where possible, structure assistance to strengthen rather than substitute for the domestic fiscal contract, for instance by supporting tax administration and the transparency institutions that make a tax bargain meaningful. These conclusions should be advanced with appropriate caution: “rentier state” is a contested and elastic category, and its application to a strategic, aid-and-remittance rentier such as Pakistan is an analytical extension rather than a settled classification. The claim is that the rentier logic, the fiscal severing of state from citizen, illuminates the durability of the governance crisis, not that Pakistan is a textbook oil rentier.

8. Conclusion

Pakistan’s governance crisis has outlasted regimes, reforms, and decades of assistance because its roots are structural and fiscal rather than contingent and administrative. As a strategic rentier state, financed disproportionately from external rents, geostrategic aid, recurrent lending, and remittances, rather than from taxing its citizens, Pakistan has been shielded from the tax-accountability bargain that disciplines other states. The result is the familiar syndrome of weak accountability, capture, and institutions that distribute rather than deliver, a syndrome that the Worldwide Governance Indicators register as a persistent, below-average governance deficit. Foreign assistance, being itself a rent, has tended to reinforce rather than break this pattern, financing the form of reform without its function.

The argument carries a clear and somewhat austere lesson. Durable improvement in Pakistan’s governance is unlikely to be purchased from outside, because external transfers are part of the fiscal structure that sustains the crisis. The more promising path runs through domestic fiscal reform, broadening the tax base so that the state depends on, and must answer to, its own citizens. This is a difficult reform precisely because it would redistribute power away from those who currently control the allocation of rent; but it is, on the analysis offered here, the reform on which durable good governance ultimately depends. Future research might extend the framework comparatively, to other strategic and aid rentiers, and refine the measurement of rentier dependence so that its relationship to governance can be tested with greater precision.

References

- Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. New York: Crown.
- Beblawi, H., & Luciani, G. (Eds.) (1987). *The Rentier State*. London: Croom Helm.
- Bräutigam, D., & Knack, S. (2004). Foreign aid, institutions, and governance in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 52(2), 255–285.
- Djankov, S., Montalvo, J. G., & Reynal-Querol, M. (2008). The curse of aid. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 13(3), 169–194.
- Karl, T. L. (1997). *The Paradox of Plenty: Oil Booms and Petro-States*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Mastruzzi, M. (2010). *The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and analytical issues*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5430.



- Khan, M. H. (2010). Political settlements and the governance of growth-enhancing institutions. Working paper, SOAS, University of London.
- Knack, S. (2001). Aid dependence and the quality of governance: Cross-country empirical tests. *Southern Economic Journal*, 68(2), 310–329.
- Luciani, G. (1987). Allocation vs. production states: A theoretical framework. In H. Beblawi & G. Luciani (Eds.), *The Rentier State*. London: Croom Helm.
- Mahdavy, H. (1970). The patterns and problems of economic development in rentier states: The case of Iran. In M. A. Cook (Ed.), *Studies in the Economic History of the Middle East*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Morrison, K. M. (2009). Oil, nontax revenue, and the redistributive foundations of regime stability. *International Organization*, 63(1), 107–138.
- Moss, T., Pettersson, G., & van de Walle, N. (2006). An aid-institutions paradox? A review essay on aid dependency and state building in Sub-Saharan Africa. Center for Global Development Working Paper 74.
- North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- North, D. C., Wallis, J. J., & Weingast, B. R. (2009). *Violence and Social Orders*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ross, M. L. (2012). *The Oil Curse: How Petroleum Wealth Shapes the Development of Nations*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Siddiq, A. (2007). *Military Inc.: Inside Pakistan's Military Economy*. London: Pluto Press.